RSA 188:H SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CAMPUS CLIMATE SURVEY SUMMARY DATA REPORT

Southern New Hampshire University Campus

July 2022

Table of Contents

I. Introduction	1
 II. Survey Data Report Response Rate and Survey Demographics Reports of Victimization Participant Satisfaction and Safety Perceptions of How SNHU CAMPUS Might Handle a Student ReMisconduct Exposure to Sexual Misconduct Information and Education Participant Awareness of Resources and Reporting Options 	2-22
III. Resources	23-25
IV. References	26

Acknowledgements

The University would like to acknowledge the working group of employees who supported the dissemination of the survey:

Kristin Scaduto - Title IX Coordinator/Equity Officer

Amanda Peabody - Office of Public Safety

Shanna Hayes – Director, Student Experience & Retention

Kelly Lockwood – Deputy Title IX Coordinator, Director of Dispute Resolution & Community Standards

Meagan LaMarca – Dean of Students

Kayla Page – Senior Director of Inclusive Learner Engagement

Kristin Lamas – Associate Vice President, Student Experience Marketing & Communications

Beth Anderson - Associate Director, Deborah L. Coffin Women's Center

Lauren Keane – Associate Vice President, Communications

Lauren Maynard – Director of Communications

At the end of the 2019-2020 legislative session, New Hampshire enacted <u>RSA 188:H relative to sexual</u> <u>misconduct at institutions of higher education</u>, becoming the first law in NH history aimed at addressing campus sexual misconduct at all Institutions of Higher Education (IHE) in the State. One component, RSA 188-H:4, mandates IHEs to conduct a sexual misconduct campus climate survey biennially to assess students' experiences with, outcomes, and perceptions of campus sexual misconduct and campus safety. The campus climate survey includes a set of common questions known as the "base survey" which was created by a legislatively mandated task force, RSA 188-H:5.

The task force developed a NH specific survey from the <u>Administrator Researcher Campus Climate</u> <u>Collaborative</u> (ARC3)¹, a validated survey instrument that provides comprehensive modules with questions for sexual assault, relationship violence and stalking, as well as perception of climate questions. The NH base survey uses the majority of ARC3 modules, with integrated questions which satisfy the requirements in RSA 188:H-5. The task force provided guidance to IHEs on how to adapt questions and response categories to meet their campus profile and align with campus specific offices, programs and resources.

The following report summarizes the sexual misconduct climate survey findings from Southern New Hampshire University (SNHU) CAMPUS. Kristin Scaduto – Title IX Coordinator/Equity Officer administered the sexual misconduct climate survey, prepared by Prevention Innovations Research Center at the University of New Hampshire, and disseminated it via Qualtrics, an online survey program, between February 28 and March 21, 2022. Students were invited to participate in the survey via an email invitation.

Students' participation in the survey was voluntary, and they could choose to skip questions or stop responding at any point in the survey. Responses were confidential; the survey did not collect IP addresses or link survey responses to students' names, student IDs, or email addresses. Additionally, students were reminded that any information about sexual misconduct shared in the survey did NOT constitute a formal report of misconduct to SNHU CAMPUS and as such would NOT result in any action, disciplinary or otherwise. Students were provided with reporting, as well as confidential support resources on and off campus at the beginning and end of the survey. These resources are also listed at the end of this summary report.

Prevention Innovations Research Center analyzed the data using SPSS 28 and summarized the findings that are presented in this report. Questions about the survey, summary data report, and SNHU's next steps to utilize findings to inform sexual misconduct resources, prevention, policy initiatives, and current work to advance Title IX on campus should be directed to Kristin Scaduto – Title IX Coordinator/Equity Officer at K.Scaduto@snhu.edu.

÷

¹ As defined by the ARC3 survey, sexual misconduct refers to physical contact or non-physical conduct of a sexual nature in the absence of clear, knowing and voluntary consent. Examples include sexual or gender-based harassment, stalking, dating violence, and sexual violence (ARC3 2015).

Survey Data Report

Response Rate and Survey Demographics

Students enrolled at SNHU Campus were invited to participate in the climate survey. Of the 2,800 students who were emailed the link to the survey, 360 participated in the survey. Thus, the overall response rate was 13%. Respondents could choose the questions they wished to answer, and they could exit the survey at any point. Accordingly, the number of responses presented in the following tables vary by question because some participants chose to skip some of the questions.

In Table 1, we present key demographic characteristics of survey respondents.

Table 1: Participant Demographics	
Gender Identity (N= 194)	
Female	54 %
Male	38 %
Transgender, Gender Nonconforming, a Gender not listed, Prefer not to say	18 %
Age Range (N= 189)	
18-19	26%
20-21	46 %
22-23	14%
24-25	6 %
26-27	4%
28-29	1%
30 and older	3%
Sexual Orientation (N= 189)	
Heterosexual	70 %
Bisexual	16 %
Gay/Lesbian/Queer/Asexual/Other	14 %

Race/Ethnicity		
White	158	
Asian American	16	
Hispanic/Latino/a	16	
Black/African, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, Native American or Alaskan Native, a race not listed	20	
Year in School N= 190		
1st	20%	
2nd	25%	
3rd	27%	
4 th or more	19%	
Graduate Student	9%	
Overall Health (I would rate my health overall as: N= 336		
Excellent	13%	
Above Average	24%	
Average	42%	
Fair	16%	
Poor	5%	

Reports of Victimization

The types of victimization measured in the sexual misconduct climate survey included participant reports of sexual harassment by a faculty and/or staff member, sexual harassment by fellow students, stalking, dating violence, and sexual violence victimization. Participants were asked to identify all the victimization types that they have experienced *"since enrolling at their school."*

Participants who indicated experiencing at least one incident of victimization were asked follow-up questions for each section. The data presented is not mutually exclusive, meaning that individuals could be counted in each subcategory more than once (i.e., they experienced more than one type of victimization).

A. Sexual Harassment by Faculty/Staff

The ARC3 Climate Survey measured sexual harassment by a faculty member, instructor, or staff member using the 16-item Department of Defense Sexual Experiences Questionnaire (SEQ-DoD) (Fitzgerald et al, 1999) that asked students to rate the frequency with which each item had occurred since enrolling at their school as *never*, *once or twice*, *sometimes*, *often*, or *many times*. Any answer other than *never* for each type of sexual harassment was treated as an affirmative response.

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 Table 2 summarizes participants' reported rates of sexual harassment perpetrated by faculty/staff. Since participants could report multiple experiences of sexual harassment, the table shows the number (N) of participants who responded to each question. The percent (%) is calculated based on the number of students who reported that they experienced <u>at least one</u> type of incident. Any answer other than *never* for each type of sexual harassment was treated as an affirmative response.

tuations in which a faculty member, instructor, or staff member:	
Treated you differently because of your sex or gender identity	N=292
	20%
Displayed, used, or distributed sexist or suggestive materials	N=291
	9%
Made offensive sexist remarks	N=289
	17%
Put you down or was condescending to you because of your sex or gender identity	N=291
	9%
Repeatedly told sexual stories or jokes that were offensive to you	N=291
	2%
Made unwelcome attempts to draw you into a discussion of sexual matters	N=280
	3%
Made offensive remarks about your appearance, body, or sexual activities	N=291
	5%
Made gestures or used body language of a sexual nature which embarrassed or	N=291
offended you	3%
Made unwanted attempts to establish a romantic or sexual relationship with you,	N=283
despite your efforts to discourage it	3%
Continued to ask you for dates, drinks, dinner, etc., even though you said "No"	N=291
	2%
Touched you in a way that made you feel uncomfortable	N=290
	3%
Made unwanted attempts to stroke, touch, or kiss you	N=291
	2%
Made you feel like you were being bribed with a reward to engage in sexual behavior	N=291
	1%
Made you feel threatened with some sort of retaliation for not being sexually	N=290
cooperative	<1%
Treated you badly for refusing to have sex	N=291
	<1%
Implied better treatment if you were sexually cooperative	N=290
	1%

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 Follow-Up: Sexual Harassment by Faculty/Staff

All participants who reported at least one incident of sexual harassment by a faculty or staff member were directed to answer follow-up questions based on ONE SITUATION. Table 3 summarizes the follow-up questions that were asked to any participant who reported at least one incident of sexual harassment by a faculty/staff member. The table presents the number and percent of respondents in each category who reported at least one incident of sexual harassment by a faculty/staff.

Table 3: Characteristics of the Sexual HaraIncident	assment by Faculty/Staff
Gender of the person who committed the b	behavior N=74
Man	78%
Woman	20%
Another Gender	2%
Classification of the person who committee	d the behavior N=70
Faculty member	63%
Staff member	11%
Other	26%
Location of incident N=61	
On Campus	98%
Non-university Related Location	2%
Academic Year that the incident took place	e N=65
2021-2022 (Fall 2021 through present)	68%
2020-2021 (Fall 2020 through Summer 2021)	12%
2019-2020 (Fall 2018 through Summer 2019)	17%
2018-2019 (Fall 2018 through Summer 2019)	1%
2017-2018 (Fall 2017 through Summer 2018)	1%
2016-2017 (Fall 2016 through Summer 2017)	1%

Students also were asked to identify the impact the incident had on their academic performance and negative financial impacts on their academic career.

Table 4: Consequences of Sexual Harassment by Faculty/Staff Incident		
Impact of harassment on academic performance – Number of Participants Responding		
Affirmatively		
Reduced grade point average (GPA)	8	

State CAMILOS ASA 100 H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July	
Caused student to drop classes	1
Student needed to take a leave of absence from their education	0
Caused student to change their major	0
Caused them to transfer to another institution	0
Negative financial impacts on academic career - Number of Participants Responding	
Affirmatively	
Loss in tuition as a result of dropping classes	0
Loss in tuition resulting from an unplanned leave of absence	0
Loss in scholarship award due to diminished grades as a result of the	0
sexual harassment	
Costs associated with unexpected need to change housing	0
Costs incurred for needed physical and mental health services resulting	0
from the sexual harassment	

B. Sexual Harassment by Fellow Students

The ARC3 measured sexual harassment by fellow students with nine items from the Sexual Experiences Questionnaire (Fitzgerald et al., 1988, 1995) and three items from the AAUW Knowledge Networks Survey (Nukulkij, 2011) that asked students to rate the frequency with which each item had occurred since enrolling at their school as *never*, *once or twice*, *sometimes*, *often*, or *many times*. Any answer other than *never* for each type of sexual harassment was treated as an affirmative response.

Table 5 summarizes participants' reported rates of sexual harassment perpetrated by a fellow student. Since participants could report multiple experiences of sexual harassment by a fellow student, the table shows the number (N) of participants who responded to each question. The percent (%) is calculated based on the number of students who reported that they experienced <u>at least one</u> type of incident. Any answer other than *never* for each type of sexual harassment was treated as an affirmative response.

Table 5: Reported Incidents of Sexual Harassment by Students	
Situations in which a student:	
Treated you differently because of your sex or gender identity	N=274
	25%
Displayed, used, or distributed sexist or suggestive materials	N=274
	15%
Made offensive sexist remarks	N=273
	28%
Put you down or was condescending to you because of your sex or gender identity	N=274
	19%
Repeatedly told sexual stories or jokes that were offensive to you	N=274
	17%
Made unwelcome attempts to draw you into a discussion of sexual matters	N=274
	13%
Made offensive remarks about your appearance, body, or sexual activities	N=274

	14%
Made gestures or used body language of a sexual nature which embarrassed or	N=273
offended you	11%
Made unwanted attempts to establish a romantic or sexual relationship with you,	N=274
despite your efforts to discourage it	15%
Sent or posted unwelcome sexual comments, jokes or pictures by text, email,	N=273
Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, Twitter, TikTok or other electronic means	1%
Spread unwelcome sexual rumors about you by text, email, Facebook, Instagram,	N=273
Snapchat, Twitter, TikTok or other electronic means	6%

Follow-Up: Sexual Harassment by Fellow Students

Table 6 summarizes the follow-up questions that were asked to any participant who reported at least one incident of sexual harassment by a fellow student. The table presents the number and percent of respondents in each category who reported <u>at least one</u> incident of sexual harassment by a fellow student.

Table 6: Characteristics of the Sexual Harassment by Students Incident		
Gender of the person who committed the be	ehavior N=103	
Man	76%	
Woman	12%	
Another Gender	12%	
Classification of the person who committed	the behavior	
Undergraduate Student at SNHU CAMPUS	N=105	
Ondergraduate student at SNHO CAMPOS	71%	
Graduate or Professional Student at SNHU	N=104	
CAMPUS	7%	
Location of Incident N=88		
On Campus	94%	
Non-university Related Location	6%	
Academic Year that the Incident took place	N=93	
2021-2022 (Fall 2021 through present)	73%	
2020-2021 (Fall 2020 through Summer	12%	
2021)	1270	
2019-2020 (Fall 2018 through Summer	11%	
2019)	11/6	
2018-2019 (Fall 2018 through Summer	3%	
2019)	570	
2016-2017 (Fall 2016 through Summer	1%	
2017)	1/0	

Students also were asked to identify the impact the incident had on their academic performance

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 and negative financial impacts on their academic career.

Table 7: Consequences of Sexual Harassment Incident		
Impact of harassment on academic performance - Number of Participants Responding		
Affirmatively		
Reduced grade point average (GPA)	5	
Caused student to drop classes	0	
Student needed to take a leave of absence from their education	0	
Caused student to change their major	0	
Caused them to transfer to another institution	1	
Negative financial impacts on academic career - Number of Participants Responding		
Affirmatively		
Loss in tuition as a result of dropping classes	0	
Loss in tuition resulting from an unplanned leave of absence	0	
Loss in scholarship award due to diminished grades as a result of the	0	
sexual harassment		
Costs associated with unexpected need to change housing	0	
Costs incurred for needed physical and mental health services resulting	1	
from the sexual harassment		

C. Stalking

Stalking was measured on the ARC3 with 8 items from the National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011) that asked students to rate the frequency with which each item had occurred since enrolling at their school as *none, 1-2 times, 3-5 times, 5-8 times,* or *more than 8 times*. Any answer other than *never* for each type of stalking was treated as an affirmative response. Per the survey question, these experiences occurred while a student was enrolled at the university but may have occurred off-campus or outside of the educational program or activity and may or may not have been perpetrated by a party associated with Southern New Hampshire University.

Table 8 summarizes participants' reported rates of stalking. Since participants could report multiple experiences of stalking, the table shows the number (N) and percent (percentage) of each type of incident experienced by participants who reported at least one incident of stalking. The percent is calculated by dividing the total number of participants who answered each question by the number of participants who experienced each type of incident.

Table 8: Reported Incidents of Stalking	
A person/people have done the following things to you since you enrolled at SNHU CAMPUS	
Watched or followed you from a distance, or spied on you with a listening	N=256
device, camera, or GPS [global positioning system]?	14%
Approached you or showed up in places, such as your home, workplace, or school	N=256
when you didn't want them to be there	11%

Left strange or potentially threatening items for you to find	N=256
	1%
Snuck into your home or car and did things to scare you by letting you know they	N=255
had been there	1%
Left you unwanted messages (including text or voice messages)	N=256
	9%
Made unwanted phone calls to you (including hang up calls	N=256
	5%
Sent you unwanted emails, instant messages, or sent messages through social	N=256
media apps such as Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat, Twitter, TikTok, etc.	12%
Left you cards, letters, flowers, or presents when they knew you didn't want them	N=255
to	1%
Made rude or mean comments to you online	N=255
	9%
Spread rumors about you online, whether they were true or not	N=256
	9%
Used coercion, threats, or intimidation to gain access to your phone, email, or other	N=256
accounts	1%

Follow-Up: Stalking

All participants who reported at least one incident of stalking were directed to follow-up questions (based on the participant's identification of one incident of stalking victimization that had the greatest effect on them). As shown in Table 9, males were most likely to be the person who committed the stalking behavior (54%) and the majority of stalking incidents were perpetrated by an acquaintance (30%) or stranger (28%). Nearly all (96%) of reported staking incidents occurred on campus.

Table 9: Characteristics of the Stalking Incident		
Gender of the person who committed the behavior N=80		
Man	54%	
Woman	29%	
Another Gender	17%	
Classification of the person who committed the behavior N=80		
Stranger	28%	
Acquaintance	30%	
Friend	15%	
Romantic Partner	3%	
Former Romantic Partner	8%	
Faculty/Staff	3%	
Other	14%	
Location of Incident N=51		
On Campus	96%	

Non-university Related Location	4%	
Academic Year that the Incident took place N=71		
2021-2022 (Fall 2021 through present)	80%	
2020-2021 (Fall 2020 through Summer 2021)	13%	
2019-2020 (Fall 2018 through Summer 2019)	7%	
Stalking perpetrator alcohol or drug use just prior to the incident N=75		
They had been using alcohol	31%	
They had been using drugs	7%	
They had been using both alcohol and drugs	1%)	
They had not been using either alcohol or drugs	7%	
Do Not Know	55%	
Stalking victim alcohol or drug use just prior to the incident N=75		
I had been using alcohol	89%	
I had been using drugs	10%	
I had been using both alcohol and drugs	1%	

Students also were asked to identify the impact the incident had on their academic performance and negative financial impacts on their academic career

Table 10: Consequences of Stalking Incident		
Impact of stalking on academic performance- Number of Participants R Affirmatively	Responding	
Reduced grade point average (GPA)	7	
Caused student to drop classes	1	
Student needed to take a leave of absence from their education	1	
Caused student to change their major	1	
Caused them to transfer to another institution	1	
Negative financial impacts on academic career - Number of Participants Responding		
Affirmatively		
Loss in tuition as a result of dropping classes	1	
Loss in tuition resulting from an unplanned leave of absence	1	
Loss in scholarship award due to diminished grades as a result of the stalking incident	0	
Costs associated with unexpected need to change housing	0	
Costs incurred for needed physical and mental health services resulting from the stalking incident	1	

D. Dating Violence

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 Dating violence was measured with 6 items from the Partner Victimization Scale (Hamby, 2014) and the Women's Experience with Battering Scale (Smith, Earp, & DeVellis, 1995). These items assessed both physical and psychological dating violence experienced by participants. Participants were asked questions about incidents involving any "hook-up, boyfriend, girlfriend, husband, or wife, including exes" since enrolling at SNHU CAMPUS. Participants rated the frequency with which each item had occurred as *never, once or twice, sometimes, often,* or *many times*. An affirmative response to one or more items indicated dating violence victimization. Per the survey question, these experiences occurred while a student was enrolled at the university but may have occurred off-campus or outside of the educational program or activity and may or may not have been perpetrated by a party associated with Southern New Hampshire University.

In Table 11, we present the participant reported rates for each category of dating violence victimization. Since participants could report multiple experiences of dating violence, the table shows the number (N) of participants who responded to each question. The percent (%) is calculated based on the number of students who reported that they experienced <u>at least one</u> type of incident. Any answer other than *never* for each type of dating violence was treated as an affirmative response.

Table 11: Reported Incidents of Dating Violence	
The person threatened to hurt me and I thought I might really get hurt	N=224
	2%
The person pushed, grabbed, or shook me	N=224
	5%
The person hit me	N=223
	3%
The person beat me up	N=223
	1%
The person stole or destroyed my property	N=223
	2%
The person can scare me without laying a hand on me	N=224
	10%

Follow-Up: Dating Violence

All participants who reported at least item of dating violence victimization were directed to follow-up questions (based on the participant's identification of one incident of dating violence victimization that had the greatest impact on their life). As shown in Table 12, the majority of participants reported that the perpetrator was a man and identified them as their former or current romantic partner.

Table 12 : Characteristics of the Dating Violence Incident	
Gender of the person who committed the behavior N=29	
Man	87%
Woman	10%
Another Gender/Prefer Not to Say	3%

Classification of the person who committed the	he behavior N=29	
Stranger	3%	
Acquaintance	14%	
Friend	7%	
Romantic Partner	0%	
Former Romantic Partner	62%	
Someone I hooked up with, Relative/family, Faculty/Staff, Other	14%	
Location of Incident N=16		
On Campus	75%	
Non-university Related Location	25%	
Academic Year that the Incident took place N	=26	
2021-2022 (Fall 2021 through present)	42%	
2020-2021 (Fall 2020 through Summer	10%	
2021)	19%	
2019-2020 (Fall 2018 through Summer	31%	
2019)	31%	
2018-2019 (Fall 2018 through Summer	8%	
2019)	670	
Dating violence perpetrator alcohol or drug u	se just prior to the incident	
N=25	420/	
They had been using alcohol	12%	
They had been using drugs	4%	
They had been using both alcohol and drugs	4%	
They had not been using either alcohol or	48%	
drugs	22%	
Don Not Know	32%	
Dating violence victim alcohol or drug use just prior to the incident N=25		
I had been using alcohol	8%	
I had been using drugs	4%	
I had not been using either alcohol or drugs	88%	

Students also were asked to identify the impact the incident had on their academic performance and negative financial impacts on their academic career.

Table 13: Consequences of the Dating Violence Incident	
Impact of dating violence on academic performance- Number of Partice	ipants Responding
Affirmatively	4
Reduced grade point average (GPA)	4
Caused student to drop classes	0
Student needed to take a leave of absence from their education	0
Caused student to change their major	0

Caused them to transfer to another institution	1
Negative financial impacts on academic career - Number of Participants Responding	
Affirmatively	
Loss in tuition as a result of dropping classes	1
Loss in tuition resulting from an unplanned leave of absence	0
Loss in scholarship award due to diminished grades as a result of the	0
dating violence	0
Costs associated with unexpected need to change housing	0
Costs incurred for needed physical and mental health services resulting	1
from the dating violence	L

E. Sexual Violence

The ARC3 measured sexual violence victimization using the Sexual Experiences Survey Short Form Victimization (SES-SFV) (Koss et al., 2007). The SES-SFV has 25 questions measuring five types of sexual violence victimization. For each question, students indicated the frequency (*0 times, 1 time, 2 times, or 3+ times*) with which someone used coercion, force, or incapacitation against them since they enrolled at their school. An affirmative response to one or more items indicated sexual violence victimization. Per the survey question, these experiences occurred while a student was enrolled at the university but may have occurred off-campus or outside of the educational program or activity and may or may not have been perpetrated by a party associated with Southern New Hampshire University.

In Tables 14-18, we present the participant reported rates for each category of sexual violence. Since participants could report multiple experiences of sexual violence, the table shows the number (N) of participants who responded to each question. The percent (%) is calculated based on the number of students who reported that they experienced <u>at least one</u> type of incident. Any answer other than *O times* for each type of sexual violence was treated as an affirmative response.

Table 14: Someone fondled, kissed, or rubbed up against the private areas of my body (lips, breast/chest, crotch or butt) or removed some of my clothes without my consent (but did not attempt sexual penetration) by:	
Telling lies, threatening to end the relationship, threatening to spread	
rumors about me, making promises I knew were untrue, or continually	N=236
verbally pressuring me after I said I didn't want to	4%
	.,.
Showing displeasure, criticizing my sexuality or attractiveness, getting	N=237
angry but not using physical force, after I said I didn't want to.	4%
Taking advantage of me when I was too drunk or out of it to stop what	N=236
was happening.	4%
Threatening to physically harm me or someone close to me.	N=235
	1%
Using force, for example holding me down with their body weight,	N=235

Table 15: Someone had oral sex with me or made me have oral sex with them without my consent by:	
Telling lies, threatening to end the relationship, threatening to spread rumors about me, making promises I knew were untrue, or continually verbally pressuring me after I said I didn't want to	N=234 2%
Showing displeasure, criticizing my sexuality or attractiveness, getting angry but not using physical force, after I said I didn't want to.	N=235 3%
Taking advantage of me when I was too drunk or out of it to stop what was happening.	N=233 2%
Threatening to physically harm me or someone close to me.	0%
Using force, for example holding me down with their body weight, pinning my arms, or having a weapon.	N=233 2%

pinning my arms, or having a weapon.

Table 16: Someone put their penis, fingers, or other objects into my vagina without my consent by:

Telling lies, threatening to end the relationship, threatening to spread	N=227
rumors about me, making promises I knew were untrue, or continually	1%
verbally pressuring meafter I said I didn't want to	
Showing displeasure, criticizing my sexuality or attractiveness, getting	N=229
angry but not using physical force, after I said I didn't want to.	2%
Taking advantage of me when I was too drunk or out of it to stop what	N=228
was happening.	3%
Threatening to physically harm me or someone close to me.	0%
Using force, for example holding me down with their body weight,	N=228
pinning my arms, or having a weapon.	2%

Table 17: Someone put their penis, fingers, or other objects into my butt without my consent by:	
Telling lies, threatening to end the relationship, threatening to spread	N=228
rumors about me, making promises I knew were untrue, or continually	1%
verbally pressuring meafter I said I didn't want to	
Showing displeasure, criticizing my sexuality or attractiveness, getting	N=227
angry but not using physical force, after I said I didn't want to.	2%
Taking advantage of me when I was too drunk or out of it to stop what	N=228
was happening.	3%
	N=228
Threatening to physically harm me or someone close to me.	0%
Using force, for example holding me down with their body weight,	N=227
pinning my arms, or having a weapon.	2%

Table 18: Even though it didn't happen, someone TRIED to have oral, anal, or vaginal sex with me without my consent by:	
Telling lies, threatening to end the relationship, threatening to spread rumors about me, making promises I knew were untrue, or continually verbally pressuring me after I said I didn't want to	N=226 2%
Showing displeasure, criticizing my sexuality or attractiveness, getting angry but not using physical force, after I said I didn't want to.	N=226 3%
Taking advantage of me when I was too drunk or out of it to stop what was happening.	N=226 3%
Threatening to physically harm me or someone close to me.	N=225 1%
Using force, for example holding me down with their body weight, pinning my arms, or having a weapon.	N=225 2%

Follow-Up: Sexual Violence

All participants who reported at least one item of sexual violence victimization were directed to followup questions related to the participant's identification of one incident of sexual violence victimization SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 that had the greatest impact on their life. As shown in Table 19, the majority of participants reported that the perpetrator was a man and was either a friend or a former romantic partner.

Table 19: Characteristics of the Sexual Viole	ence Incident	
Gender of the person who committed the be	havior N=20	
Man	85%	
Woman	5%	
Another Gender	10%	
Classification of the person who committed	the behavior N=20	
Stranger	5%	
Acquaintance	15%	
Friend	35%	
Romantic Partner	5%	
Former Romantic Partner	25%	
Someone I hooked up with	10%	
Other	5%	
Location of Incident N=12		
On Campus	83%	
Non-university Related Location	17%	
Academic Year that the Incident took place I	V=16	
2021-2022 (Fall 2021 through present)	69%	
2020-2021 (Fall 2020 through Summer	6%	
2021)		
2019-2020 (Fall 2018 through Summer 2019)	19%	
2018-2019 (Fall 2018 through Summer	6%	
2019)		
Sexual Violence perpetrator alcohol or drug N=18	use just prior to the incident	
They had been using alcohol	28%	
They had been using drugs	6%	
They had been using both alcohol and drugs	11%	
They had not been using either alcohol or	22%	
drugs		
Do Not Know	33%	
Sexual Violence victim alcohol or drug use just prior to the incident N=17		
I had been using alcohol	35%	
I had not been using either alcohol or drugs	65%	

Students also were asked to identify the impact the incident had on their academic performance and negative financial impacts on their academic career.

Table 20: Consequences of the Sexual Violence Incident	
Impact of sexual violence on academic performance- Number of Participants Responding Affirmatively	
Reduced grade point average (GPA)	5
Caused student to drop classes	0
Student needed to take a leave of absence from their education	0
Caused student to change their major	0
Caused them to transfer to another institution	0
Negative financial impacts on academic career - Number of Participant	s Responding
Affirmatively	
Loss in tuition as a result of dropping classes	0
Loss in tuition resulting from an unplanned leave of absence	0
Loss in scholarship award due to diminished grades as a result of the	0
sexual violence	
Costs associated with unexpected need to change housing	0
Costs incurred for needed physical and mental health services resulting from the sexual violence	2

Reporting

Forty-eight percent of students told someone about the incident before responding to this survey. Students could check all that applied to indicate whom they told. They are most likely to tell a roommate.

Table 21: Who Students Told About the Sexual Violence - Number of Participants Responding Affirmatively	
Off-campus counselor/therapist	7
Roommate	35
Close friend other than roommate	22
Wellness Center counselor or therapist	6
Confidential Resource Advisor	3
Title IX Coordinator	2
Romantic partner	13
Wellness Center employee (medical)	2
Parent or guardian	19
Other family member	16
Public Safety Officer	4
Local police	1
Doctor/nurse	0
Community Standards office	0
Religious leader	1

Resident Advisor or Residence Life staff	7
Off-campus rape crisis center staff	0
SNHU CAMPUS faculty or staff other than Wellness Center staff	6

Table 22: Why Students Did Not Tell Anyone About the Incident.	
	N=43
Ashamed/embarrassed	7%
Is a private matter – wanted to deal with it on my own	19%
Concerned others would find out	0%
Didn't want the person who did it to get in trouble	0%
Fear of retribution from the person who did it	0%
Fear of not being believed	0%
I thought I would be blamed for what happened	2%
Didn't think what happened was serious enough to talk about	14%
Didn't think others would think it was serious	5%
Thought people would try to tell me what to do	0%
Would feel like an admission of failure	0%
Didn't think others would think it was important	7%
Didn't think others would understand	0%
Didn't have time to deal with it due to academics, work, etc.	2%
Didn't know reporting procedure on campus	0%
Feared I or another would be punished for infractions or violations (such as underage drinking)	0%
I did not feel the campus leadership would solve my problems	2%
I feared others would harass me or react negatively toward me	2%
I thought nothing would be done	2%
Other	37%

Participant Satisfaction and Safety

In Table 23, we present the percentage of participants who "agree" or "strongly agree" with statements about their satisfaction with SNHU CAMPUS and their safety on campus. The majority of respondents would recommend SNHU CAMPUS to others and would still attend SNHU CAMPUS if they had to make the choice again. When asked about general safety, as well as safety from specific forms of violence, the majority of participants agreed that they feel safe at their school. Slight more than one-third (35%) of participants also reported that they do not believe sexual violence is a problem at SNHU CAMPUS.

Table 23: Participant Perceptions of Institution Satisfaction and Safety	
Participant satisfaction - Strongly Agree/Agree	
I would recommend attending my institution to others.	N=353 70%
If I had to do it over again, I would still attend my institution.	N=351 63%
Perceptions of safety -Strongly Agree/Agree	
On or around this campus, I feel safe from sexual harassment.	N=192 76%
On or around this campus, I feel safe from dating violence.	N=192 82%
On or around this campus, I feel safe from sexual violence.	N= 191 75%
On or around this campus, I feel safe from stalking.	N=192 71%
Perceptions of sexual misconduct as problem	
I don't think sexual violence is a problem at my institution.	N=194 35%
I don't think there is much I can do about sexual violence on this campus.	N=194 29%
There isn't much need for me to think about sexual violence while at college.	N=194 26%

Perceptions of How SNHU CAMPUS Might Handle a Report of Sexual Misconduct

Participants were asked to respond to statements describing how they thought their school might handle a sexual misconduct report. In Table 24, we summarize participants' perceptions of how "likely" or "very likely" they believe SNHU CAMPUS would be to handle a report of sexual misconduct. Participants believed school officials would take the report seriously and handle it fairly. The majority of participants believed SNHU CAMPUS would support and protect the victim and maintain his or her privacy.

Table 24: Participant Perceptions of How SNHU CAMPUS Might Handle a Report of SexualMisconduct	
	Likely/Very Likely
Please indicate the likelihood of each statement describing how your institution might handle it if a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct Percent of Participants Responding Affirmatively to Each Statement.	

The institution would take the report seriously.	63%
The institution would maintain the privacy of the person making the report.	70%
The institution would do its best to honor the request of the person about how to go forward with the case.	59%
The institution would take steps to protect the safety of the person making the report.	65%
The institution would support the person making the report.	62%
The institution would provide accommodations to support the person (e.g. academic, housing, safety).	59%
The institution would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct.	54%
The institution would handle the report fairly.	60%
The institution would have a hard time supporting the person who made the report.	19%
The institution would punish the person who made the report.	16 %

Exposure to Sexual Misconduct Information and Education

In Table 25, we summarize participants' exposure to information or education about sexual misconduct before and since enrolling at their school. The majority of participants reported that they had received information about sexual misconduct prior to attending SNHU CAMPUS. However, participants reported low rates of exposure to sexual misconduct information and education at SNHU CAMPUS. Participants were most likely to report exposure by discussing the topic of sexual misconduct with friends and seeing posters about sexual misconduct.

Table 25: Participant Exposure to Sexual Misconduct Information and Education			
BEFORE coming to your institution, had you received any information or education about sexual misconduct?			
Yes, I had received information or education about sexual misconduct.	N=315 52%		
Since you came to your institution, which of the following have you done? Number of Students - Number of Participants Responding Affirmatively to Each Statement			
Discussed sexual misconduct/rape in class.	88		
Discussed the topic of sexual misconduct with friends.	178		
Discussed sexual misconduct with a family member.	98		
Attended an event of program about what you can do as a bystander to stop sexual misconduct.	60		

Attended a rally or other campus event about sexual misconduct or sexual assault.	22
Attended a sexual consent program	23
Seen posters about sexual misconduct (e.g. raising awareness, preventing rape, defining sexual misconduct).	117
Seen or heard campus administration or staff address sexual misconduct.	63
Seen crime alerts about sexual misconduct.	39
Read a report about sexual violence rates at your institution.	46
Visited my institution's website with information on sexual misconduct.	31
Volunteered or interned at an organization that addresses sexual misconduct.	7
Seen or heard about sexual misconduct in a student publication or media outlet.	71
Taken a class to learn more about sexual misconduct.	9
Since coming to your institution have you received written (e.g., brochures information (e.g., presentations, training) from anyone at your institution Number of Participants Responding Affirmatively to Each Statement The definitions of types of sexual misconduct.	•
How to report an incident of sexual misconduct.	72
Where to go to get help if someone you know experiences sexual misconduct.	76
Title IX Protections against sexual misconduct.	127
How to help prevent sexual misconduct.	61

Participant Awareness of Resources and Reporting Options

In Table 26, we present the percentage of participants who "agree" or "strongly agree" with statements about reporting sexual misconduct at SNHU CAMPUS. The percent is calculated by dividing the total number of participants who answered each question by the number of participants who experienced each type of incident. Slightly more than half of participants agreed that they know where to get help for and make a report of sexual misconduct on campus. However, less than half of participants understand what happens after a report is made.

Table 26: Participant Awareness of Institution Resources and Reporting Options		
	Agree/Strongly Agree	
Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements.		
If a friend or I experienced sexual misconduct, I know where to	N = 316	
go to get help on campus.	53%	
I understand what happens when a student reports a claim of	N=316	
sexual misconduct at my institution.	39%	
I would know where to go to make a report of sexual	N=316	
misconduct.	42 %	



Survey Resource Sheet

If you are concerned about any of the topics covered in this survey, or if you would like more information or reading material on this topic, please contact one of the resources below:

Local Resources:		
Kristin Scaduto	Email: <u>k.scaduto@snhu.edu</u>	
Title IX Coordinator and Equity Officer Southern New Hampshire University	Phone: 603-644-3188	
	Student Center #105	
	Emergency:	
	(603) 668-8711	
Manchester Police Department	405 Valley Street	
	Manchester, NH 03103	
	Emergency: 603-624-1560	
Hooksett Police Department	15 Legends Drive	
	Hooksett, NH 03106	
Southern New Hampshire University	1-603-645-9700	
Public Safety Office	Morrissey House – 2503 N. River Road	
	Located in the Student Center	
SNHU Wellness Center	603-645-9679	
	wellness@snhu.edu	
YWCA	http://www.ywcanh.org/	
72 Concord St, Manchester, NH	Main Office: 603-625-5785	
	Crisis Hotline: 603-668-2299	
NH Coalition Against Domestic and	http://www.nhcadsv.org/	
Sexual Violence	Domestic Violence Hotline: 1-866-644-3574	
4 S State St, Concord, NH	Sexual Assault Hotline: 1-800-277-5570	

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Miscondu	Lt Survey Summary Data Report July 2022
	https://bhsonline.personaladvantage.com/
	Username: SNHU
	Or via telephone:
HelpU	Business hours: 1-800-327-2251
	after hours:603-645-9679
	Campus Students can self-refer to the SNHU
SNHU Cares Team	CARE team at the following link:
	<u>Referral</u>
National Resources:	
	http://www.thehotline.org/
National Domestic Violence Hotline	1-800-799-SAFE (7233) 1-800-787-3224 (TTY)
Dana Abusa and Insect National	
Rape, Abuse, and Incest National Network (RAINN)	<u>https://rainn.org/</u> 1-800-656-HOPE (4673)
	http://www.loveisrespect.org
Love Is Respect: National Teen Dating Abuse Helpline	1-866-331-9474
	1-866-331-8453 (TTY)
National Sexual Violence Resource Center	http://www.nsvrc.org/
National Network to End Domestic Violence (NNEDV)	http://nnedv.org/
Know Your IX	http://knowyourix.org/
Hope Exists After Rape Trauma (HEART)	http://h-e-a-r-t.org/
National Center on Domestic Violence, Trauma, and Mental Health	http://www.nationalcenterdvtraumamh.org/
Not Alone	https://www.notalone.gov/
End Rape On Campus (EROC)	http://endrapeoncampus.org/
Stalking Resource Center	http://www.victimsofcrime.org/our-
	programs/stalking-resource-center

	http://www.trynova.org/ 800-879-6682
National Online Resource Center on Violence Against Women	http://www.vawnet.org/

If you have any questions pertaining to the survey, you can contact Southern New Hampshire University's Title IX Coordinator, Kristin Scaduto, at 603-644-3188 or <u>k.scaduto@snhu.edu</u>.

SNHU CAMPUS RSA 188-H Sexual Misconduct Survey Summary Data Report July 2022 **References:**

Administrator Research Sexual Misconduct Campus Climate Consortium (ARC3). (2015). ARC3 Sexual Misconduct Campus Climate Survey.

American Association of Community Colleges (AACC). (2015). AACC's 2015 Fact Sheet.

Association for Student Conduct Administration (ASCA). (2015). *Community Colleges and Sexual Misconduct: Unique Challenges and Opportunities.*

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2011). *National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS): 2011 Victimization Questions.*

Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. (2014). Rape and Sexual Victimization Among College-Aged Females, 1995-2013.

Fitzgerald, L. F., Gelfand, M. J., & Drasgow, F. (1995). Measuring sexual harassment: Theoretical and psychometric advances. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, *17*(4), 425-445.

- Fitzgerald, L. F., Magley, V. J., Drasgow, F., & Waldo, C. R. (1999). Measuring sexual harassment in the military: The Sexual Experiences Questionnaire (SEQ-DoD). *Military Psychology*, *3*, 243-264.
- Fitzgerald, L.F., Shullman, S.L., Bailey, N., Richards, M., Swecker, J., Gold, Y., Ormerod, A.J., & Weitzman, L.M. (1988). The incidence and dimensions of sexual harassment in academia and the workplace. *Journal of Vocational Behavior, 32,* 152-175.
- Hamby, S. (2014). Self-report measures that do not produce parity in intimate partner violence: A multi-study investigation. *Psychology of Violence, 15,* 149–158.

Human Rights Campaign (HRC). (2015). Sexual Assault Awareness and the LGBTQ Community.

Koss, M., Abbey, A., Campbell, R., Cook, S., Norris, J., Testa, M., Ullman, S., West, C., White, J. (2007). Revising the SES: A Collaborative process to improve the assessment of sexual aggression and victimization. *Psychology of Women Quarterly, 31*, 357-370.

National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). (2016). New Hampshire Technical Institute.

Nukulkij, P. (2011). AAUW Knowledge Networks: Harassment at School Survey.

- Smith, P.H., Earp, J.A., & DeVellis, R. (1995). Measuring battering: development of the Women's Experience with Battering (WEB) Scale. Women's Health: Research on Gender, Behavior, and Policy, 1(4), 273-288.
- White House Task Force to Protect Students From Sexual Assault (White House). (2014). Not Alone: The First Report of the White House Task Force to Protect Students From Sexual Assault.